

Memorandum – Length and costs of trial		
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
Section 65A	When the order may be made	Registrar's use of powers - Magistrates' Court
Order directing legal practitioner acting for a	At any time in the proceeding.3	76.1
party to prepare a memorandum regarding the length and costs of trial.1	Contents of the memorandum ⁴	If the proceeding, or part of the proceeding, is referred to a pre-hearing conference in the
Section 65D	The estimated length of the trial.	Magistrates' Court, the Court constituted by a registrar may exercise powers under s 65A.6
The Court may revoke or vary any order or direction made under this Part. ²	 The estimated costs and disbursements in relation to the trial. 	Lower value claims – County Court
	 The estimated costs that a party would have to pay to any other party if they are unsuccessful at trial. 	For lower value claims (<\$150,000) in the County Court's General List and specialist lists, parties will usually be directed to prepare memoranda pursuant to ss 65A and/or 65B. ⁷
	To whom the memorandum may be given	
	■ The court and/or any party. ⁵	

¹ Civil Procedure Act 2010 (Vic) s 65A(1) ('CPA').

² Ibid s 65D.

³ Ibid s 65A(2).

⁴ Ibid s 65A(1)(a).

⁵ Ibid s 65A(1)(b).

⁶ Magistrates' Court General Civil Procedure Rules 2020 (Vic) r 50.10(1)(0) ('MCGCPR').

⁷ County Court of Victoria, Commercial Division Omnibus Practice Note, 1 August 2022, para 604(2)('CCV Commercial Div Practice Note').



Memorandum – Length and costs of proceeding		
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
Lever Section 65B Order directing legal practitioner acting for a party to prepare a memorandum regarding the length and costs of a proceeding.8 Section 65D The Court may revoke or vary any order or direction made under this Part.9	Relevant matters When the order may be made At any time in the proceeding.¹º Contents of the memorandum¹¹ The actual costs and disbursements incurred in relation to the proceeding or any part of it. The estimated costs and disbursements in relation to the proceeding or any part of it. The estimated costs that a party would have to pay any other party if they are	Registrar's use of powers – Magistrates' Court If the proceeding, or part of the proceeding, is referred to a pre-hearing conference in the Magistrates' Court, the Court constituted by a registrar may exercise powers under s 65B. 13 **Other possible recipients of the memorandum Section 65B does not explicitly contemplate the legal practitioner giving the memorandum to the
	 unsuccessful at trial. The estimated length of the proceeding or any part of it. 	court and/or another party (aside from the party for whom the legal practitioner is acting). However, they might arguably be ordered to do so under the broad case management powers in the CPA. ¹⁴

⁸ CPA s 65B(1).

⁹ Ibid s 65D.

¹⁰ Ibid s 65B(2).

¹¹ Ibid s 65B(1).

¹³ MCGCPR r 50.10(1)(0).

¹⁴ CPA ss 47-48.



To whom the memorandum may be given	Lower value claims – County Court
**The party for whom the legal practitioner is acting.12	For lower value claims (<\$150,000) in the County Court's General List and specialist lists, parties will usually be directed to prepare memoranda pursuant to ss 65A and/or 65B.15

¹² Ibid s 65B(1).

¹⁵ CCV Commercial Div Practice Note, para. 604(2).



Other costs orders		
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
Section 65C	When the order may be made	This power is in addition to any other power a court may have in relation to costs, 20 and nothing
Any order as to costs the court considers appropriate to further the overarching purpose. 16	At any time in the proceeding, in relation to any aspect of the proceeding, including any interlocutory proceeding. ¹⁸	in this Part limits any power a court may have to award costs under a section of a relevant Act, rules of Court, or a Court's inherent, implied, or statutory jurisdiction. ²¹
Section 65D	Examples of costs orders ¹⁹	Specified sum or amount
The Court may revoke or vary any order or direction made under this Part. ¹⁷	 An order may: make different awards of costs in relation to different parts of the proceeding or up to or from a specified stage of the proceeding; order that parties bear costs as specified proportions of costs; 	The Court may award lump sum costs to avoid the expense, delay and aggravation involved in protracted litigation arising out of taxation. ²² This involves a 'broad brush' approach, without the precision of taxation. ²³ However, the Court should be confident that the estimate of costs is

¹⁶ CPA s 65C(1).

¹⁷ Ibid s 65D.

¹⁸ Ibid s 65C(3).

¹⁹ Ibid s 65C(2). See relevant considerations in s 65C(2A).

²⁰ Ibid s 65C(1). The Court may make any orders or directions it considers appropriate with respect to costs, including the proportions in which the parties are to bear any costs. Ibid s 49(3)(k).

²¹ Ibid ss 65E(1), (2).

²² Giurina v Greater Geelong City Council (No 2) [2021] VSCA 341, [16] ('Giurina'); Sunland Waterfront (BVI) Ltd v Prudentia Investments Pty Ltd (No 3) [2012] VSC 399, [84]; Seven Network Ltd v News Ltd [2007] FCA 2059, [25]. See also r 63.07(2)(c) and (d) of the Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure Rules) 2015 (Vic).

²³ Giurina [16].



Other costs orders		
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
	 award a party costs in a specified sum or amount; 	logical, fair, and reasonable. ²⁴ Factors weighing in favour of lump sum costs include:
	 fix or cap recoverable costs in advance (see 'protective costs orders' next for further information). 	 a relatively modest claim; minor differences in competing costs assessments, with any such differences explained or evident; and the burden on court resources.²⁵

 ²⁴ Giurina [16].
 ²⁵ Primebroker Securities Ltd (recs and mgrs apptd) (in liq) v Lomas [2013] VSC 223, [41].



	Protective costs orders	
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
Section 65C(2)(d)	When may the order be made?	Furtherance of the overarching purpose
An order that fixes or caps recoverable costs in advance. ²⁶ This section has been used to justify a	At any time in the proceeding, in relation to any aspect of the proceeding, including any interlocutory proceeding. ³⁰	The Court has a discretionary power to fix or cap a party's liability for costs in advance if it considers that such an order is appropriate to further the overarching purpose identified in
protective costs order ('PCO'), ²⁷ although its application is not limited to such situations. ²⁸	Considerations relevant to the making of a PCO ³¹	section 7 of the CPA, namely 'to facilitate the just, efficient, timely and cost-effective resolution of the real issues in dispute'. ³²
Section 65D	 The timing of the application. 	Timing of the application
The Court may revoke or vary any order or direction made under this Part. ²⁹	The complexity of the factual or legal issues raised in the proceeding.	An application for a PCO should be made and determined at an early stage of a proceeding. ³³ A favourable decision will enable the beneficiary to proceed with the litigation. An unfavourable

²⁶ CPA s 65C(2)(d).

²⁷ Bare v Small (2013) 47 VR 255, [35] ('Bare'). A protective costs order may allow a claimant of limited means to continue to advance their case without fear of a substantial adverse costs order, which may otherwise inhibit the claimant from continuing, by prospectively limiting their prospective costs liability in advance (see Corner House [2005] 1 WLR 2600, 2607 [6]; [2005] 4 All ER 1,5 cited by the Court of Appeal in Bare at [23]).

²⁸ For example, an order fixing or capping costs in respect of a particular stage of the proceeding may be appropriate in complex litigation or in matters where proportionality under *CPA* s 24 is a significant consideration.

²⁹ *CPA* s 65D.

³⁰ Ibid s 65C(3).

³¹ CPA s 65C(2A).

³² Bare 264,[35].

³³ Michos v Eastbrooke Medical Centre Pty Ltd [2019] VSCA 140, [25].



	Protective costs orders	
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
	 Whether the party seeking the order claims damages or other form of financial compensation. Whether the claim of the party seeking the order has a proper basis and is not frivolous or vexatious. 	decision will enable them to make an informed decision whether to proceed, prior to incurring significant legal costs. And deferral of a decision on a PCO can undermine the purpose of such an order. ³⁴ Public interest element
	 The undesirability of the party seeking the order abandoning the proceeding if the order is not made. Whether there is a public interest element to the proceeding. The costs likely to be incurred by the parties. Whether the other party has been uncooperative or delayed the proceeding. The ability of the party seeking the order to pay costs. 	The fact that litigation can be characterised as 'public interest litigation' does not, of itself, mean that the usual order as to costs is not appropriate. ³⁵ Public interest in the proceeding must be over and above general inherent public interest in judicial review proceedings. ³⁶ For example: a proceeding which clarifies the meaning of a statutory provision with general application beyond the parties might satisfy this element. ³⁷ The statutory considerations are not decisive, nor are they a test ³⁸

³⁴ Ibid.

³⁵ Corcoran v Virgin Blue Airlines Pty Ltd [2008] FCA 864, [10].

³⁶ IJW v Swinburne University of Technology [2021] VSC 846, [69].

³⁷ Ibid. See also *Bare* 264-65, [38], [43].

³⁸ Michos v Eastbrooke Medical Centre Pty Ltd (No 2) [2019] VSC 437, [17].



	Protective costs orders	
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
	 Whether a significant number of members of the public may be affected by the outcome of the proceeding. Whether the claim of the party seeking the order raises significant issues as to the interpretation and application of statutory 	It is a matter of weighing up the considerations and deciding whether a PCO would further the overarching purpose, or would otherwise be an appropriate exercise of the Court's general power in respect of costs. ³⁹ No 'equality of arms' principle
	provisions.	The CPA does not incorporate an 'equality of arms' principle that automatically justifies the making of a PCO. ⁴⁰
		Where the applicant seeks damages or compensation
		If the applicant for a PCO is seeking damages this is a factor that will 'suggest strongly' against the grant of the order.41
		The possibility that a PCO might be made has no effect on power to order security for costs ⁴²

³⁹ Ibid. See also *Bare* 267, [37].

⁴⁰ Khalid v The Queen [2014] VSCA 115, [30], [32].

⁴¹ Ibid [32].

⁴² Environment East Gippsland Inc v VicForests (No 3) [2022] VSC 141, [20].



	Protective costs orders	
Lever	Relevant matters	Additional guidance
		Should reciprocal PCOs be made? Whether a PCO should be made in reciprocal
		terms is not settled. The issue ultimately depends on where the financial burden of the litigation should lie. ⁴³

⁴³ Bare 267, [48].